



3618

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Lyn Rosenboom)
Serial No.: 09/847,264) Examiner: Jeffrey J. Restifo
Filed: May 2, 2001) GAU: 3618
For: AGRICULTURE IMPLEMENT FRAME AND CART

H 8
Electron
9/26/02
Nw

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the Office Action mailed on August 9, 2002.

The Examiner has made a restriction requirement in the above-captioned application.

The Examiner asserts that the application should be restricted to one of two inventions:

Invention I set forth in claims 1 and 5, and Invention II, set forth in claims 2-4.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the grouping of the inventions. Applicant submits that claims 1 and 2 should be grouped together. The Examiner asserts that the combination claimed in claim 2, namely an agricultural cart, does not require the subcombination, namely a track assembly, for utility. However, claim 2 does recite the limitations of a track assembly; therefore, the agricultural cart of claim 2 does require the track assembly for utility and could not be used, for example, with normal wheels.

RECEIVED
SEP 18 2002
GROUP 300

If the Examiner will not group claims 1 and 2 together, Applicant elects to proceed with Group II.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Sept. 9, 2002

By: Michael C. Gilchrist

Michael C. Gilchrist, Esq.
Registration No. 40,619
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
801 Grand, Suite 3900
Des Moines, IA 50309
Telephone: (515) 283-1000
Attorneys for Applicant

I hereby certify that the document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

September 9, 2002



Michael C. Gilchrist